## Note

## An Inequality for Derivatives of Polynomials with Positive Coefficients

S. P. ZHOU\*

Dalhousie University, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computing Science, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 3J5

Communicated by T. J. Rivlin

Received July 10, 1993; accepted in revised form October 27, 1993

The present paper gives a complete answer to a question concerning an inequality for derivatives of polynomials with positive coefficients.  $\odot$  1995 Academic Press. Inc.

Let  $\Pi_N$  be the class of all algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than N, let  $\mathscr{K}_N$  be the class of polynomials from  $\Pi_N$  which have only real coefficients and all of whose zeros lie in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0$ , and let  $\Pi_N^+$  be the class of polynomials from  $\Pi_N$  with only nonnegative coefficients. Define

$$M(r, f) = \max_{|z| = r} |f(z)|.$$

It is well-known that the Bernstein inequality

$$M(r, f') \leqslant \frac{N}{r} M(r, f) \qquad (r > 0)$$

holds for  $f(z) \in \Pi_N$ .

Abi-khuzam [1] formulated a refined form of the Bernstein inequality for polynomials that involves the number of zeros in the disk relevant for the norm. First Abi-khuzam [1, p. 119] suggested the general form of the question that follows (in particular when n(r, f) = N this is a conjecture of Erdős proved by Lax).

\* Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1.

146

0021-9045/95 \$6.00

Copyright (c) 1995 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.



Conjecture. Let  $f \in \Pi_N$ , N > 0, and let n(r, f) be the counting function of its zeros in the disk |z| < r; that is, for r > 0, n(r, f) equals the number of zeros of f in the disc |z| < r, where each zero is counted as many times as its multiplicity indicates. Then

$$M(r, f') \leq \frac{N + n(r, f)}{2r} M(r, f) \qquad (r > 0).$$
 (1)

Then Abi-khuzam proved that the conjecture holds for  $\mathscr{K}_N$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $f(z) \in \mathscr{K}_N$ , N > 0, then

$$M(r,f') \leqslant \frac{N+n(r,f)}{2r} M(r,f) \qquad (r>0).$$

Since  $\Pi_N^+$  is a wider set than  $\mathscr{K}_N$ , in the last section of [1] Abi-khuzam asked: If the class  $\mathscr{K}_N$  is replaced by  $\Pi_N^+$ , does the conclusion of Theorem 1 still hold for polynomials f in  $\Pi_N^+$ ?

In answering this question, he constructed in [1] a polynomial  $Q \in \Pi_5^+$ ,

$$Q(z) = z^5 + z^4 + 2z + 2,$$

and claimed that since Q(z) does not satisfy inequality (1) this gives a negative answer to the question as well as to the above cited conjecture (see [1, p. 124]).

After careful verification, we found that there is something wrong in the counterexample given by Abi-khuzam. In fact, write

$$Q(z) = (z+1)(z^4+2),$$

then evidently

$$\frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{1}{z+1} + \frac{4z^3}{z^4+2}.$$

A direct calculation leads to

$$\frac{4r^3}{r^4+2} \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{2}{r}, & r \leqslant \sqrt[4]{2}, \\ \frac{4}{r}, & r > \sqrt[4]{2}, \end{cases}$$

NOTE

NOTE

then

$$\frac{M(r,Q')}{M(r,Q)} = \frac{Q'(r)}{Q(r)} \leqslant \begin{cases} \frac{3}{r}, & r \leqslant \sqrt[4]{2}, \\ \frac{5}{r}, & r > \sqrt[4]{2}. \end{cases}$$

That is, Q(z) still satisfies (1). This allows us to repeat the question raised by Abi-khuzam again: Is there a similar estimate to (1) for  $f \in \Pi_N^+$ ?

The present note will establish the following result to give this question a complete solution, which also gives a correct negative answer to the above cited conjecture.

THEOREM 2. (i) If  $f \in \Pi_N^+$  for N = 1, 2, 3, 4, then

$$M(r,f') \leqslant \frac{N+n(r,f)}{2r} M(r,f) \qquad (r>0).$$

(ii) Let  $5m \le N < 5(m+1)$ , m = 1, 2, ... Then there exists a polynomial  $f_N \in \Pi_N^+$  such that

$$M(3,f') = \frac{N + n(3,f) + 2m/7}{2 \times 3} M(3,f) > \frac{N + n(3,f)}{2 \times 3} M(3,f).$$

*Proof.* (i) Since the argument of this part is completely elementary, we omit it here.

(ii) Define

$$f_5(z) = (z^2 - z + 1)(z + 3)^3 = z^5 + 8z^4 + 19z^3 + 9z^2 + 27,$$

then

$$f'_5(z) = 5z^4 + 32z^3 + 57z^2 + 18z.$$

We check that

$$\frac{M(3,f_5')}{M(3,f_5)} = \frac{f_5'(3)}{f_5(3)} = \frac{1836}{1512} = \frac{7+2/7}{2\times3}.$$
 (2)

If N = 5m, m = 2, 3, 4, ..., let

$$f_N(z) = f_5^m(z).$$

Hence

$$\frac{M(3,f'_N)}{M(3,f_N)} = \frac{mf'_5(3)}{f_5(3)} = \frac{m(7+2/7)}{2\times3} = \frac{N+n(3,f_N)+2m/7}{2\times3}$$



148

Finally, for 5m < N < 5(m + 1), m = 1, 2, ..., set

$$f_N(z) = f_{5m}(z)(z+3)^{N-5m}$$

From (2), we calculate that

$$\frac{M(3,f'_N)}{M(3,f_N)} = \frac{f'_{5m}(3)}{f_{5m}(3)} + \frac{N-5m}{6} = \frac{N+2m+2m/7}{2\times 3} = \frac{N+n(3,f_N)+2m/7}{2\times 3}.$$

Thus we are done.

## Reference

1. F. F. ABI-KHUZAM, An inequality for derivatives of polynomials whose zeros are in a halfplane, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1983), 119-124.